## Summary of Committee Recommendations: Remaining Issues/Elements I-405 Preferred Alternative

| MAJOR ELEMENT   | STEERING COMMITTEE<br>RECOMMENDATION  | CITIZEN COMMITTEE<br>RECOMMENDATION   | COMMENTS   |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Expanded TDM Program: Pricing Strategies  | Consensus: "Support use-based pricing in region as part of regional strategy." (Region should examine feasibility as part of separate study)  | <ul> <li>14 Support: "I-405 Program will not make recommendation on pricing."</li> <li>8 Support: "Support Consideration of usebased pricing on I-405 as part of regional strategy*. (Region should examine feasibility as part of separate study)</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>*Revenues should stay<br/>within region.</li> </ul> |  |  |
| TRANSIT   |   |   |  |  |  |
| High Capacity Transit: - Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) - Fixed Guideway - Commuter Rail | 15 Support BRT as preferred strategy. Do not include fixed guideway in preferred Alternative; recommend Sound Transit analyze fixed guideway in it's Phase II planning 3 Support fixed guideway 1 Support commuter rail | 20 Support BRT 4 Support fixed guideway 4 Support commuter rail   |  |  |  |
| Study HCT in Central Core Area  | Consensus to study HCT in central core area   | 9 Support studying HCT in core area 10 Do not support   |  |  |  |
| Preserve BNSF Right-of-Way  | 3 Support setting up separate study to look at future uses 15 Support actively seeking to preserve BNSF   | <ul> <li>2 Support setting up separate study to look at future uses.</li> <li>7 Support actively seeking to preserve BNSF</li> <li>14 Do not support preserving BNSF</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |

| ROADWAY   |   |   |   |  |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| I-405 Expansion - Add 1 Lane - Add 2 Lanes - Add 3 Lanes          | 2 Support adding 0 Lanes<br>14 Support adding <u>"up to"</u> 2 Lanes<br>1 Abstain   | 5 Support adding 1 Lane 19 Support adding 2 Lanes 2 Support adding 3 Lanes  |   |  |
| MAJOR ELEMENT   | STEERING COMMITTEE<br>RECOMMENDATION  | CITIZEN COMMITTEE<br>RECOMMENDATION   | COMMENTS  |  |
| Lane Balance: 3 <sup>rd</sup> Lane South of I-90                  | Consensus: Do not add 3 <sup>rd</sup> lane south of I-90.**   | 19 support, "Further study of adding up to 3 lanes south of I-90." 2 Do not support 3 Abstain   | <ul> <li>** Steering Committee<br/>supportive of collector/<br/>distributor and auxiliary<br/>lanes.</li> </ul> |  |
| SR 167 Expansion - Add 0 Lanes - Add 1 Lane - Add 2 Lanes         | 3 Support no added lanes<br>11 Support adding <u>"up to"</u> 2 lanes  | 1 supports adding no lanes<br>3 support adding 1 lane<br>21 support adding 2 lanes  |   |  |
| Managed Up to 2 Lanes on I-405 Utilize Tolls as a Management Tool | <ul> <li>13 Support managing up to 2 lanes</li> <li>2 Do not Support</li> <li>10 Support using tolls, subject to conditions and additional study</li> <li>2 Do not support using talls</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>11 Support managing up to 2 lanes,</li> <li>12 Do not support managing lanes</li> <li>11 Support using tolls, subject to conditions and additional study</li> <li>11 Do not support using tolls</li> </ul> |   |  |
| 3 Do not support using tolls  NON MOTORIZED                       |   |   |   |  |
| Bike and Pedestrian Long trails                                   | 7 Support including long trails 3 Do not support  | 7 Support including long trails 13 Do not support   |   |  |